

November 29, 2005  
Case No. GP-303949 (2760/128)  
Serial No.: 10/676,211  
Filed: October 1, 2003  
Page 9 of 10

**REMARKS:**

Claims 1, 3-8, 10-14, and 16-20 were rejected as anticipated by Webb under 35 U.S.C. §102(e). These rejections are traversed. In order to anticipate these claims, each and every element of the claims must be disclosed in at least as great detail as claimed. Because Webb does not disclose a call center, Webb does not disclose receiving a subscriber event request at a call center, as claimed in claims 1, 8, and 14, and this rejection must fall.

At most, Webb discloses a gift reminder service provider. See, ¶27 of Webb. The gift reminder service provider is not the same as the claimed call center. The claimed call center facilitates communications to and from a mobile vehicle.

Additionally, claims 1, 8, and 14 have been amended to clarify that the subscriber notification is sent using a wireless network. This amendment is entered not to avoid any reference, but rather to clarify the invention, and Applicant maintains a claim to any and all equivalents of the unamended claims.

Claims 3-7, 10-13, and 16-20 depend directly or indirectly from claims 1, 8, or 14 respectively and are therefore allowable over Webb for at least the same reasons.

Claims 2, 9, and 15 were rejected as unpatentable over Webb under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). These rejections are traversed. Claims 2, 9, and 15 depend directly from one of claims 1, 8, or 14 respectively, and are therefore allowable over Webb for at least the same reasons.

No new matter has been added with the addition of claims 21-24, support for which is found on pages 12-14, inter alia, of the specification. Claims 21-24 are patentable over the prior art because the prior art does not disclose, teach, or suggest each and every element of claims 21-24.

Withdrawal of the rejections to claims 1-20 is requested.

November 29, 2005  
Case No. GP-303949 (2760/128)  
Serial No.: 10/676,211  
Filed: October 1, 2003  
Page 10 of 10

**SUMMARY:**

Claims 1-24 as set forth fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§102, 103, and 112. In view of foregoing remarks, favorable consideration and early passage to issue of the present application are respectfully requested.


Dated: November 29, 2005

Respectfully submitted,  
CHESLEY P. DILLON

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION  
General Motors Legal Staff  
Mail Code 482-C23-B21  
300 Renaissance Center  
P.O. Box 300  
Detroit, MI 58265-3000  
Phone: (313) 665-4714

Anthony Luke Simon  
Registration No. 34,434  
Attorney for Applicants

CARDINAL LAW GROUP  
Suite 2000  
1603 Orrington Avenue  
Evanston, Illinois 60201  
Phone: (847) 905-7111  
Fax: (847) 905-7113

  
Frank C. Nicholas  
Registration No. 33,983  
Attorney for Applicant